Long-lived complexes and chaos in ultracold molecular collisions
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Estimates for the lifetime of collision complexes formed during ultracold molecular collisions based on density-of-states arguments are shown to be consistent with similar estimates based on classical trajectory calculations. In the classical version, these collisions are shown to exhibit chaos and their fractal dimension is calculated versus collision energy. From these results, a picture emerges that ultracold collisions are likely classically ergodic, justifying the density-of-states estimates for lifetimes. These results point the way toward using the techniques of classical and quantum chaos to interpret molecular collisions in the ultracold regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold gases provide a unique environment for molecules, where translational temperature can be far less than the interaction energy of molecules with one another. This by now a familiar circumstance in ultracold physics and one that affords, among other things, the creation of novel quantum states of matter [1,2] and the sensitive control over and one that affords, among other things, the creation of novel quantum states of matter [3,4]. These effects typically rely on the quantum states of matter and the sensitive control over and one that affords, among other things, the creation of novel quantum states of matter [1,2] and the sensitive control over

The key feature that makes RRKM theory work is the assertion that all states contributing to \( \rho \) actually get explored during the typical collision, so that the estimate of time wasted is accurate. This is not necessarily the case, as, for example, when the incident molecules are separated from much of phase space by barriers in the potential energy surface, or else when the number of open channels \( N_o \) is so large that a typical trajectory leaves before seeing all the states available [12,13]. In ultracold collisions of alkali molecules, potential energy surfaces are likely to be barrierless [14–17], whereby the full DOS should be accessible.

In this paper we provide theoretical evidence that the lifetimes based on RRKM estimates agree to within an order of magnitude with the results of classical trajectory calculations that yield explicit dwell times. We interpret these results to mean that collisions in this regime are ergodic, consistent with the foundations of RRKM theory. Moreover, the lifetimes of various trajectories are found to be extremely sensitive functions of initial conditions, illustrating that classically chaotic dynamics is at work. We quantify the onset of chaos in terms of a “fractal dimension” for the space of incident conditions, finding that classical chaos emerges well above ultracold energies.

II. CLASSICAL TRAJECTORY CALCULATIONS

Viewed as a problem in multichannel quantum mechanics, the presence of a vast number of rovibrational resonant states would necessitate an equally vast set of scattering channels, rendering the problem extremely difficult, if not impossible.
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The explicit consideration of nuclear spin would, of course, make this problem even worse. In addition, for collisions in an applied field, the total angular momentum \( J \) is no longer a good quantum number, and the large sets of coupled equations can no longer be factorized neatly into smaller blocks for each \( J \), as is possible in field-free scattering.

Even if such calculations were easily done, they would still likely not yield accurate resonance positions, since these are extremely sensitive to the potential surfaces. These surfaces are themselves computationally intensive and are thus often only accurate to a couple of percent. For cold atomic collisions the potential has to be modified in order to fit experimental observables \([18,19]\), and for molecular collisions it is necessary to vary the potential by a factor and to content oneself with the study of general trends \([20]\).

While quantitative work is in progress to mitigate the expense of such computations \([21,22]\), quantitative level assignment of resonance lines seems a distant goal. In this regime observables become averaged over many resonances, and taking a statistical approach to cold collisions such as the regime observables become averaged over many resonances, assignment of resonance lines seems a distant goal. In this section we describe our approach and the PES used.

### A. Classical trajectory calculations and initial conditions

The collision calculations are performed in the coordinate system depicted in Fig. 1. We start by placing the center of mass of the diatom (atoms A and B) at the origin along the \( x \) axis in the \( xz \) plane, with the atoms at the equilibrium bond length with zero momentum. For calculations with zero impact parameter the lone atom is displaced a distance \( b \) in the \( yz \) plane.
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**FIG. 1.** Schematic of the initial conditions. The lone atom is given an initial velocity \( v_{\text{coll}} \) corresponding to a collision energy \( E_{\text{coll}} \). For collisions with an impact parameter, the lone atom is displaced a distance \( b \) in the \( yz \) plane.

The lifetime in Eq. (1) is apposite \([8,9,23]\). and taking a statistical approach to cold collisions such as the regime observables become averaged over many resonances, assignment of resonance lines seems a distant goal. In this section we describe our approach and the PES used.

**FIG. 1.** Schematic of the initial conditions. The lone atom is given an initial velocity \( v_{\text{coll}} \) corresponding to a collision energy \( E_{\text{coll}} \). For collisions with an impact parameter, the lone atom is displaced a distance \( b \) in the \( yz \) plane.

The fourth-order Runge–Kutta method with fixed step size was used to propagate Hamilton’s equations of motion. Trajectories were considered complete when a lone atom had been ejected and was again a distance \( R_\infty \) from the dimer with enough kinetic energy to escape the potential of the dimer.

All classical trajectory calculations were performed using ANT, an adiabatic and nonadiabatic trajectories package \([24]\). The fourth-order Runge–Kutta method with fixed step size was used to propagate Hamilton’s equations of motion. Trajectories were considered complete when a lone atom had been ejected and was again a distance \( R_\infty \) from the dimer with enough kinetic energy to escape the potential of the dimer.

All computed trajectories are necessarily done to a finite precision. However, since chaotic systems display sensitive dependence on initial conditions, computed trajectories diverge exponentially from the true trajectory with the same initial conditions. In this work we assume shadowing, that is, that there exists an errorless trajectory with a slightly different initial condition that shadows the computed one \([25,26]\). Properties averaged over a large number of trajectories thus yield a meaningful result.

Ordinarily one expects classical approximations to be relevant in the limit of large kinetic energies, quite the opposite of the ultracold collision regime. However, the RRKM estimate for the lifetime is the same at any energy where \( N_\sigma = 1 \). We can thus compare classical trajectory lifetimes with the RRKM estimate without performing classical trajectories in the Wigner threshold regime. In addition, in the present problem we are concerned with the motion of the atoms deep inside the potentials where their kinetic energy is, in fact, large. They spend comparatively little time getting into and out of the collision complex. The classical lifetimes are therefore expected to represent the appropriate time delay one would find by propagating wave packets.

### B. Potential energy surface

In this work all calculations were performed on the quartet surface, assuming both the atom and the molecule are spin-polarized and that spin plays no role in the dynamics of the complex. For this calculation we use a pairwise-additive three-atom potential based on Lennard-Jones (LJ) atom-atom pair potentials:

\[
V(r_1, r_2, r_3) = \sum_{i \neq j} V_{LJ}(r_i - r_j),
\]

where

\[
V_{LJ}(r) = \frac{C_6}{r^{12}} - \frac{C_6}{r^6}.
\]

We use a realistic \( C_6 \) for the atom-atom pair potentials and choose the \( C_{12} \) such that the LJ potential has the correct atom-atom depth, \( D_e \) (\( C_{12} = C_6^2/4D_e \)). To span a range of masses and interactions, we construct surfaces for three systems of current experimental interest, \(^7\)Li, \(^39\)K, and \(^133\)Cs, whose values for the \( C_6 \) and \( D_e \) are shown in Table I. This simple choice of potential ignores three-body terms; however, it is sufficient for the exploratory nature of this work.
TABLE I. Van der Waals coefficients $C_6$ and well depths $D_e$ for the triplet states of Li$_2$, K$_2$, and Cs$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$C_6$ (a.u.)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li + Li</td>
<td>1394 [27]</td>
<td>334 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K + K</td>
<td>3927 [28]</td>
<td>253 [29]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Lifetimes

Each classical trajectory leads to a different lifetime, defined in our calculations as follows. For a given initial condition the lifetime was computed as the time difference between the collision complex forming and breaking up. The collision complex was considered formed when the hyper-radius $\sqrt{R_{AB}^2 + R_{BC}^2 + R_{AC}^2}$ is first less than $\sqrt{3\bar{a}}$, where $R_{AB}$ is the distance between atoms $A$ and $B$. $\bar{a}$ is the characteristic length scale of the potential as defined by Gribakin and Flambaum for a potential varying as $-C_6/R^6$ [31]. The collision complex was considered to have broken up when the hyper-radius was again bigger than $\sqrt{3\bar{a}}$ and the collision partners have enough kinetic energy to escape to $\infty$. In this way the dwell time is associated with the short-range physics dominated by fast semiclassical motion, and shorn from the details of long-range motion that are best handled quantum mechanically at ultralow collision energies.

The time for a lone atom to cover a distance $\bar{a}$ in the absence of a potential is 0.05, 0.94, and 7.96 ns for Li$+$ Li$_2$, K$+$ K$_2$, and Cs$+$ Cs$_2$ at an energy corresponding to the lowest rotational threshold of each system. In this work the lifetime is dominated by complex short-range behavior; as such the explicit lifetime as computed differs negligibly from the time delay, defined as the difference between the dwell times of a classical trajectory computed with and without the interaction potential [32].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Density of states and lifetimes

The primary outcome of the statistical model proposed by Mayle et al. is the long dwell time of the complex. Within that theory a lifetime estimate is unambiguously assigned a single number for a given density of states. We compute this lifetime using Eq. (1) and estimating the DOS $\rho$ as explained in detail in [8,9]. The single-channel Schrödinger equation was solved using the Fourier grid Hamiltonian method [33,34] using the same LJ potential as for the classical trajectories. As with the PES for the classical trajectories, the potential is assumed to be pairwise additive, with $C_6$ and $D_e$ chosen to be double the atom + atom value for the atom + dimer potential. The estimated DOS shown here does not include the factor of 6 reduction due to identical bosons in order to allow direct comparison with the classical trajectory estimate for the lifetime.

In the present classical calculations, each trajectory has its own dwell time, and these vary wildly with initial condition. Nevertheless, if the RRKM assumption of ergodicity of the trajectories holds, it follows that the lifetimes are distributed according to an exponential distribution

$$f = \exp\left( -\frac{t}{\bar{\tau}_e}\right),$$

where $f$ is the fraction remaining after time $t$ and $\bar{\tau}_e$ is the average lifetime. We obtain the mean lifetime for a given collision energy by running a large number of trajectories and computing the number remaining within the complex, as a function of time. Results for this fraction are shown in Fig. 2 for all three systems, showing data from more than 1000 trajectory calculations for each. To a good approximation, the fraction is an exponential function of time, justifying the approximation in Eq. (4).

The exponential decay of this fraction can itself be understood using statistical arguments. Any particular trajectory at low collision energy that remains within the collision complex can be interpreted as consisting of a large number of individual minicollisions, each of which essentially randomizes the energy distribution among the three atoms. A very small fraction of these minicollisions results in fragmentation. In an ensemble of trajectories with differing initial conditions, the number of trajectories able to escape the complex at any given time is therefore proportional to the number that have not yet escaped by this time. This proportionality leads to the exponential dependence. We interpret this dependence as evidence that the collision complex, viewed classically, explores large regions of phase space randomly, as asserted by the statistical theory. This criterion, of exponential lifetime distribution, is interpreted within the RRKM theory as a signature of trajectories that fill phase space ergodically [35]. Here we adopt this interpretation as evidence for ergodicity, although we have not attempted to calculate the filling of phase space directly. At higher collision energies where there is of order 1 collision event within the complex, the use of Eq. (4) is no longer valid. In the systems studied here this corresponds to collision energies above about 400 K.

Armed with a clear definition of the initial-state-ensemble averaged lifetime, we now ask what is the energy dependence
of this lifetime, in particular, in the ultracold limit. To this end, Fig. 3 shows how the lifetime scales with collision energy for collisions of all three species. In this figure the solid line is computed using the RRKM formula (1), with the DOS computed according to the algorithm of Mayle. For comparison, the points connected by dotted lines are the lifetimes as computed from classical trajectories by the methods just outlined.

The lifetime computed from classical trajectories shows a power-law dependence with energy over the range shown (with exponent –1.35, –1.28, and –1.29 for Li + Li₂, K + K₂, and Cs + Cs₂, respectively) and will extrapolate to infinite lifetime in the zero-energy limit. This is appropriate for the classical calculation, since the classical phase space into which the complex can decay shrinks without limit as E_{col} → 0. In a realistic quantum system, by contrast, the phase space corresponding to the fragmentation can only meaningfully diminish until a single channel remains (neglecting Wigner threshold law effects). For this reason, the most viable comparison between the calculations is the lowest rotational threshold for the molecule, in this case at collision energy 0.62, 0.04, and 0.005 K for Li + Li₂, K + K₂, and Cs + Cs₂, respectively.

The power-law dependence of the lifetime τ_dos as a function of collision energy in Fig. 3 affords extrapolation of this lifetime to low collision energies. This is a useful procedure when the lifetimes become so large as to be computationally burdensome. We use this extrapolation to arrive at lifetime estimates for the larger molecules. This estimate for the lifetime at the energy of the first excited rovibrational level in each system of interest. In this work only the depth D_z and C_z for the atom-atom potential were needed to obtain the lifetime estimate for each alkali-molecule system. Since these are known or can be estimated for all the alkali pairs, it is relatively simple to provide an order-of-magnitude estimate for the lifetime of a given system of interest.

While the two approaches agree closely at energies where there are only a couple of open channels, at higher collision energies the RRKM formula tends to overestimate the lifetime as compared to the classical calculation. This is because the RRKM estimate of the lifetime assumes that the collisions are ergodic, so that the ρ in estimate (1) is the density of all states that satisfies angular momentum conservation. However, at higher energies, there are so many exit channels that the complex decays before exploring all of the available phase space, reducing the value of the effective DOS ρ. Turning this around, we can interpret the agreement of the lifetimes at low energies as evidence that the dynamics is ergodic in this limit.

To better illustrate the complexity of the trajectories, Fig. 4 shows representative trajectories for Li + Li₂ over a range of collision energies. This figure shows the increasing length of trajectories as the collision energy decreases, with lower panels showing lower collision energies. While these sample trajectories do not prove that low-energy scattering is ergodic, they do certainly show that high-energy scattering is not ergodic. Rather, at higher collision energies, only a handful of minicollisions occurs before the collision fragments separate.

Thus at energies well above threshold, the RRKM expression may be expected to overestimate lifetimes, as seen in Fig. 3. The trend of lifetime versus collision energy is also comprehensible. At energies below the first vibrational threshold of the molecule, the number of open channels increases according to the rotational spacing ∝ B N( N + 1), where B is the rotational constant of the molecule, and N is its rotational quantum number. Thus the number of open channels should scale as N_0 ∝ E_{col}^{-1/2}, leading to τ_dos ∝ E_{col}^{-1/2}, which is indeed the scaling of the RRKM lifetime in Fig. 3 in this energy range.
impact parameter for labeling different atoms. All calculations were performed without need not be quantized. This leads to a faster apparent growth in vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom, since vibration phase space into which the complex decays includes both classical and chaotic effects are seen to arise suddenly below a critical energy [49,51,52]. The route to chaos in classical scattering has also been studied in a variety of different scattering systems, where chaotic effects have been extensively studied in the context of chemical reaction dynamics [45–47] and cold collisions [48–50]. The route to chaos in classical scattering has also been studied in a variety of different scattering systems, where chaotic effects are seen to arise suddenly below a critical energy [49,51,52].

Given the complexity of long-time trajectories at low collision energy, one suspects that classical chaos is at work. Chaos is of fundamental interest, unifying a wide array of disparate topics from the motion of planets, turbulent fluid flow, through to the predication of the weather and the economy. Inherently nonlinear phenomena such as these can appear to be intractably complicated; however, when viewed through the lens of chaos they exhibit an orderliness which provides deep and unifying insight. Classically chaotic systems leave signatures in the corresponding quantum-mechanical system via the Gutzwiller trace [36]. Chaos in quantum systems manifests itself statistically in a number of ways, such as the Wigner-Dyson distribution of energy level intervals [37–43], Porter-Thomas statistics of resonance widths [44], and Ericson fluctuations [10,11].

Classical chaotic scattering is a manifestation of transient chaos, where particles move freely before and after collision events; however, during the collision event the particles are strongly interacting and the motion can be chaotic. Such collisions have been extensively studied in the context of chemical reaction dynamics [45–47] and cold collisions [48–50]. The route to chaos in classical scattering has also been studied in a variety of different scattering systems, where chaotic effects are seen to arise suddenly below a critical energy [49,51,52].

To illustrate the presence of chaos in our classical simulations, we show in Fig. 5 the single-trajectory lifetime of the collision complex for Li + Li₂ as a function of the initial angle θ, with impact parameter b = 0. The three colors label trajectories which finish in different final “basins,” corresponding to which of the three atoms emerges freely after fragmentation of the complex. (Recall that in the classical simulation the atoms are regarded as distinguishable). Some regions of initial θ lead to collisions with similar short lifetimes, and to the ejection of a particular atom. These are regions where there is a single minicollision event, after which one of the atoms has enough kinetic energy to escape. Collisions in these regions all follow a similar trajectory, reflected in the same pair of atoms comprising the dimer at the end. In other regions the lifetime is longer and varies rapidly as a function of initial θ. These are regions where there are multiple minicollision events in which the energy is redistributed until one of the atoms has attained enough kinetic energy to escape.

regime. By contrast, in this regime, the increase in classical phase space into which the complex decays includes both vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom, since vibration need not be quantized. This leads to a faster apparent growth in phase space classically, and a faster decay of classical lifetime as compared to RRKM.

At collision energies well above the first vibrational excitation of the molecule, the RRKM and classical lifetimes diminish at more closely matched rates. In this regime, where many more exit channels, both rotational and vibrational, are open, the counting argument for \( N_o \) seems to accord better with the opening up of phase space as \( E_{\text{col}} \) grows. This is most clearly seen in the two heavier species. Still, as noted above, the absolute lifetime is overestimated by the RRKM expression, since the collisions clearly do not explore the full phase space implied by \( \rho \).

**B. Onset of chaos**

Given the complexity of long-time trajectories at low collision energy, one suspects that classical chaos is at work. Chaos is of fundamental interest, unifying a wide array of disparate topics from the motion of planets, turbulent fluid flow, through to the predication of the weather and the economy. Inherently nonlinear phenomena such as these can appear to be intractably complicated; however, when viewed through the lens of chaos they exhibit an orderliness which provides deep and unifying insight. Classically chaotic systems leave signatures in the corresponding quantum-mechanical system via the Gutzwiller trace [36]. Chaos in quantum systems manifests itself statistically in a number of ways, such as the Wigner-Dyson distribution of energy level intervals [37–43], Porter-Thomas statistics of resonance widths [44], and Ericson fluctuations [10,11].

Classical chaotic scattering is a manifestation of transient chaos, where particles move freely before and after collision events; however, during the collision event the particles are strongly interacting and the motion can be chaotic. Such collisions have been extensively studied in the context of chemical reaction dynamics [45–47] and cold collisions [48–50]. The route to chaos in classical scattering has also been studied in a variety of different scattering systems, where chaotic effects are seen to arise suddenly below a critical energy [49,51,52].

To illustrate the presence of chaos in our classical simulations, we show in Fig. 5 the single-trajectory lifetime of the collision complex for Li + Li₂ as a function of the initial angle θ, with impact parameter b = 0. The three colors label trajectories which finish in different final “basins,” corresponding to which of the three atoms emerges freely after fragmentation of the complex. (Recall that in the classical simulation the atoms are regarded as distinguishable). Some regions of initial θ lead to collisions with similar short lifetimes, and to the ejection of a particular atom. These are regions where there is a single minicollision event, after which one of the atoms has enough kinetic energy to escape. Collisions in these regions all follow a similar trajectory, reflected in the same pair of atoms comprising the dimer at the end. In other regions the lifetime is longer and varies rapidly as a function of initial θ. These are regions where there are multiple minicollision events in which the energy is redistributed until one of the atoms has attained enough kinetic energy to escape.
condition by stable under perturbation algorithm [56]. In this algorithm, trajectories are classified as \( AC \) is considered unstable under perturbation be computed. [55] using a procedure charmingly named the uncertainty exhibited in Fig.5 can be quantified by a fractal dimension of unstable initial conditions for a given number of random initial conditions differing by scattering region and never leave [48,54].

The set of singularities implied by the scale invariance exhibited in Fig. 5 can be quantified in Eq. (5) by \( \alpha = 1 \). This is because there are many regions of initial conditions \( \theta \) where all trajectories within \( \delta \) of \( \theta \) finish in the same basin. As the collision energy is lowered, however, even small steps in \( \delta \) can lead to completely different final basins for many initial values \( \theta \), quantified by \( \alpha \) decreasing from 1. At the very lowest energies shown, the unstable fraction no longer depends of \( \delta \) at all, at which point \( \alpha = 0 \). At such low collision energies, the outcome of two collisions whose initial conditions differ by an arbitrarily small amount are unrelated, like the toss of a (three-sided) coin. This unpredictability again suggests that during a collision event the total energy is redistributed randomly between the degrees of freedom of the system. At lower collision energies there is less energy to go around and so the probability of a single atom having enough energy after each collision event to escape is lower. Thus the fraction of trajectories which are unstable under perturbation \( \delta \) is higher at lower collision energies where neighboring trajectories have longer to diverge.

The exponent \( \alpha \) can be given a geometrical interpretation based on basin boundaries. We can divide up regions of initial conditions in \( \theta \) by which final basin they end in. Such regions can be seen in Fig. 5 as regions of a single color. The fractal dimension \( d \) of the boundary between such regions is related to the uncertainty exponent \( \alpha \) by

\[
\alpha = D - d, \tag{6}
\]

where \( D \) is the dimension of initial phase space associated with perturbation \( \delta \), in this case where \( \delta \) explores the single degree of freedom \( \theta \), \( D = 1 \) [56,57]. \( \alpha \) can thus take values between 0 and 1, since the dimension of the boundary basin can be at most 1 less than the dimension of phase space. Thus as \( \alpha \) decreases the fractal dimension of the boundary between different final basins increases. As this happens regions leading to the same final basins shrink and small differences in initial conditions can put neighboring trajectories in different final basins, regardless of initial condition. Eventually when \( \alpha = 0 \) the basin boundary fills the entire space. When this happens all initial conditions lie on a basin boundary leading to completely quantified in Eq. (5) by \( \alpha = 1 \). This is because there are many regions of initial conditions \( \theta \) where all trajectories within \( \delta \) of \( \theta \) finish in the same basin. As the collision energy is lowered, however, even small steps in \( \delta \) can lead to completely different final basins for many initial values \( \theta \), quantified by \( \alpha \) decreasing from 1. At the very lowest energies shown, the unstable fraction no longer depends of \( \delta \) at all, at which point \( \alpha = 0 \). At such low collision energies, the outcome of two collisions whose initial conditions differ by an arbitrarily small amount are unrelated, like the toss of a (three-sided) coin. This unpredictability again suggests that during a collision event the total energy is redistributed randomly between the degrees of freedom of the system. At lower collision energies there is less energy to go around and so the probability of a single atom having enough energy after each collision event to escape is lower. Thus the fraction of trajectories which are unstable under perturbation \( \delta \) is higher at lower collision energies where neighboring trajectories have longer to diverge.

The exponent \( \alpha \) can be given a geometrical interpretation based on basin boundaries. We can divide up regions of initial conditions in \( \theta \) by which final basin they end in. Such regions can be seen in Fig. 5 as regions of a single color. The fractal dimension \( d \) of the boundary between such regions is related to the uncertainty exponent \( \alpha \) by

\[
\alpha = D - d, \tag{6}
\]

where \( D \) is the dimension of initial phase space associated with perturbation \( \delta \), in this case where \( \delta \) explores the single degree of freedom \( \theta \), \( D = 1 \) [56,57]. \( \alpha \) can thus take values between 0 and 1, since the dimension of the boundary basin can be at most 1 less than the dimension of phase space. Thus as \( \alpha \) decreases the fractal dimension of the boundary between different final basins increases. As this happens regions leading to the same final basins shrink and small differences in initial conditions can put neighboring trajectories in different final basins, regardless of initial condition. Eventually when \( \alpha = 0 \) the basin boundary fills the entire space. When this happens all initial conditions lie on a basin boundary leading to completely

FIG. 6. (Color online) \( f(\delta) \) as a function of \( \delta \) for collision of Li + Li. Shown are three representative collision energies demonstrating the full range of behavior. The corresponding \( \alpha \) values are 0.01 at 31 K, 0.24 at 316 K, and 1.00 at 3162 K.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Lifetime as a function of initial \( \theta \) for collisions of Li + Li with zero impact parameter at \( E_{\text{col}} = \) 450 K. Different colors correspond to different initial conditions for the trajectory. The lower panel shows a 500× magnified region of the upper panel.
as defined in Eq. (7), and the vertical solid line is the atom-atom well depth. Above this energy the lone atom is able to dissociate the dimer and still has energy left over. We thus conclude that above this energy the lone atom is able to absorb enough of the lone atom kinetic energy to prevent it escaping.

different trajectories from their neighbors, on any arbitrary length scale.

Figure 7 shows the fractal dimension $d$ as a function of collision energy for collisions of $\text{Li} + \text{Li}_2$, both with and without an impact parameter. $d = 2$ is plotted for the result, including an impact parameter for comparison. The vertical dotted line is $E_{\text{chaos}}$, as defined in Eq. (7), and the vertical solid line is the atom-atom well depth.

Figure 8 compares the fractal dimension $d$ as a function of collision energy for our three systems $\text{Li} + \text{Li}_2$, $\text{K} + \text{K}_2$, and $\text{Cs} + \text{Cs}_2$ for collisions without an impact parameter. The vertical dotted line is $E_{\text{chaos}}$ as defined in Eq. (7), and the vertical solid line is the atom-atom well depth for each species.

by Mayle et al. that resonances, if resolved, should obey nearest-neighbor statistics associated with quantum chaos, such as the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE). This further justifies the use of Eq. (4) to compute the mean lifetime as the time delay statistics for chaotic scattering decay exponentially. Such an exponential decay is characteristic of hyperbolic scattering, where all periodic orbits are unstable [53,57]. The lack of stable periodic orbits in the system is a necessary condition for a system to be ergodic, as stable orbits only explore their own region of phase space. With no stable periodic orbits, the system is ergodic in the limit $E_{\text{col}} \to 0$ where $\tau = \infty$, further supporting our conclusion that collisions at sufficiently low energy, achievable experimentally, are ergodic.

In this work we have used a simple pairwise additive model for the quartet potential; however, the alkali metal trimers are highly nonadditive [58]. Despite this, we would not expect that using a more realistic potential would change this prediction, as the onset of chaos is primarily determined by the atom-atom well depth, correct in our model, and not by details of the potential surface. We would also predict that for collisions on the doublet surface where the dimer is in a singlet state the onset of chaos would occur at about the singlet well depth, which is generally much deeper than the triplet depth. It should be noted that the predictions for the onset of chaos made here are all at collision energies many orders of magnitude above those possible in experiment.
of magnitude higher than the sub-microkelvin temperature achieved experimentally.

C. Relevance to lifetime calculations

The dominant role of classical chaos at low collision energy also has implications for the applicability of RRKM ideas. The RRKM lifetime (1) would overestimate lifetimes if somehow not all of the phase space $\rho$ were accessed in collisions [35]. However, classical chaos as a function of initial condition implies that, averaged over initial conditions, the trajectories access wildly different regions of phase space, so that all of $\rho$ is likely to contribute. This surmise is consistent with the lifetime agreement in Fig. 3, where many trajectories with varied initial conditions are calculated.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work we have performed classical trajectory calculations that yield explicit dwell times consistent with the simple RRKM estimates at low collision energies for three systems of current experimental interest, $^7\text{Li} + ^7\text{Li}_2$, $^{39}\text{K} + ^3\text{K}_2$, and $^{39}\text{Cs} + ^3\text{Cs}_2$. Lifetimes were compared for collisions on an approximate quartet surface, assuming both the atom and the molecule are spin polarized and that spin plays no role in the dynamics of the complex. The agreement of these results is extremely promising, as it indicates that lifetime estimates for alkali atom-dimer collisions on the doublet surface and alkali dimer-dimer collisions are also well approximated by the simple RRKM estimate for the lifetime. Such predictions have already been made where the lifetime of the complex was found to be long, of order 10–100 ns for alkali-metal-atom–alkali-metal-dimer collisions, and of order 1–10 ns for collisions of alkali molecules with one another. Such long lifetimes are comparable to experimental lifetimes and may lead to novel trap-loss mechanisms [8,9]. We interpret the agreement of the lifetimes at low energies, as well as their exponential distribution, as evidence that such collisions are ergodic.

Further, we found that low-energy collisions exhibit chaos at collision energies lower than the atom-atom binding energy. We quantified the onset of chaos in terms of a “fractal dimension” for the space of incident conditions, finding that classical chaos emerges well above ultracold energies. This justifies applying chaotic arguments when studying ultracold collisions [8,9,23]. Classically chaotic systems leave signatures in the corresponding quantum-mechanical system via the Gutzwiller trace [36]. Chaos in quantum systems manifests itself statistically in a number of ways, such as the Wigner-Dyson distribution of energy-level intervals [37–43]. Porter-Thomas statistics of resonance widths [44], and Ericson fluctuations [10,11]. Experimental ultracold molecular samples possess a purity and precision control over all internal and external degrees of freedom at the level of single quantum states, which combined with the high DOS, makes them the perfect system to make such statistical measurements of chaos.

In this work we have seen chaos in the spatial degrees of freedom among three atoms. However, in ultracold collisions of sufficiently anisotropic atoms, it is possible that chaotic scattering may emerge. Indeed, the very recently observed Fano-Feshbach resonances in erbium have exhibited nearest-neighbor statistics corresponding to the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble, regarded as a signature of quantum chaos [59]. Chaos also affords a new theoretical perspective on cold and ultracold molecular collisions, the prospect of which provides deep and unifying insight [8,9,23].
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