Multiplet structure of Feshbach resonances in nonzero partial waves
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We report a unique feature of magnetic-field Feshbach resonances in which atoms collide with nonzero orbital angular momentum. p-wave (l=1) Feshbach resonances are split into two components depending on the magnitude of the resonant state’s projection of orbital angular momentum onto the field axis. This splitting is due to the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between the atoms and it offers a means to tune anisotropic interactions in an ultracold gas of atoms. Furthermore this splitting in the p-wave Feshbach resonance has been experimentally observed and is reported. A parametrization of the p-wave resonance in terms of an effective-range expansion is given.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The experimental observation of magnetic-field Feshbach resonances (FRs) offers a means to widely tune the effective interactions in degenerate quantum gases. A Feshbach resonance occurs when a quasibound state of two atoms becomes degenerate with the free atoms and the interatomic potential either gains or loses a bound state. As the quasibound state passes through threshold the scattering length can be varied in principle from positive to negative infinity. FRs were observed in bosons [1–5], in fermions between distinct spin states [6–9], and in a single-component Fermi gas [10]. Using FRs to study fermions offers a means to explore superfluid phase transitions [11,12], three-body recombination [13], mean-field interactions [14–16], and molecules [17–20].

Of special interest is the p-wave FR observed in Ref. [10], which exists in a single-component Fermi gas. Due to the Pauli exclusion principle the two-body wave function must be antisymmetric under interchange of two fermions, implying that only odd partial waves l can exist for identical fermions. For l=1 the Wigner threshold law dictates that the p-wave cross section scales as the temperature squared. This characteristic behavior ordinarily suppresses interactions at ultracold temperatures [21]. However, a resonance can dramatically increase the p-wave cross section even at low temperatures.

In this paper we discuss characteristics of p-wave Feshbach resonances. The first is a sensitive dependence of observables on temperature and magnetic field. This dependence arises from a centrifugal barrier through which the wave function must tunnel to access the resonant state. Only in a narrow range of magnetic field can the continuum wave function be significantly influenced by the bound state.

The second characteristic is a doublet in the resonance feature arising from the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between the atoms’ valence electrons. The p-wave FRs experience a nonvanishing dipole-dipole interaction in lowest order, in contrast to s-wave FRs. This interaction splits the FR into distinct resonances based on their partial-wave projection onto the field axis, m_l=0 or |m_l|=1. Splitting of the p-wave resonance offers a means to tune the anisotropy of the interaction. Dipole-dipole interactions in Bose-Einstein condensates and degenerate Fermi gas have been considered due to the novelty of the resulting anisotropic interaction [22]. Degenerate gases with these anisotropic interactions have intriguing many-body properties. For example, there will be a strong interplay between the trap geometry and the many-body properties, or there could be novel manifestations of superfluidity [22]. The p-wave FR offers an immediately accessible means to explore anisotropic interactions in the many-body physics of degenerate gases.

The observed p-wave FR in ^40K occurs when two atoms in the |f,m_f|=|9/2,−7/2⟩ hyperfine state collide. The joint state of the atom pair will be written |f_m_f⟩|f,m_m⟩=|9/2,−7/2⟩|9/2,−7/2⟩|1,m_l⟩, where m_l can take on the values ±1.0. The calculations presented here were performed using Johnson’s log-derivative propagator method [23] in the magnetic-field dressed hyperfine basis [24]. The potassium singlet and triplet potentials [25,26] are matched to long-range dispersion potentials with C_6=3927 a.u. and are fine tuned to yield the scattering lengths a_s=104.0 a.u., a_t=174 a.u., respectively. With these values we are able to reproduce the FRs measured in Refs. [6,10].

II. TEMPERATURE AND MAGNETIC-FIELD DEPENDENCE

A p-wave resonance is distinct from a s-wave (l=0) resonance in that the atoms must overcome a centrifugal barrier to couple to the bound state. The extreme dependence on magnetic field and temperature can be understood by considering the cross section as a function of energy at several values of magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The lowest curve, with a magnetic field B=190 G, shows typical off-resonance p-wave threshold behavior. Once the magnetic field is increased to be close to the resonance, the cross sec-
tion changes significantly, and a narrow resonance appears at low energy. The resonance first appears for fields just above $B = 198.8$ G. As the magnetic field is increased the resonance broadens and moves to higher energy. The $p$-wave resonance’s narrowness is due to the fact that atoms must tunnel through a centrifugal barrier before they can interact. This narrow resonance structure is in stark contrast to the $s$-wave FR shown in Fig. 1(b), which occurs between the spin states $|9/2, -7/2\rangle u_{9/2,-7/2} l_{0,0} l_{coll}$ collisions for different magnetic-field values. The $s$-wave FR peaks at $B = 201.6$ G. Compared to the $p$-wave FR these have little structure. The solid line is the unitarity limit.

The temperature dependence of $p$-wave FRs results from the strong energy dependence of the cross section. For a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of the atomic energies, the thermally averaged cross section is

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{(kT)^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(E) e^{-E/kT} dE,$$

where $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant and $\sigma(E)$ is the energy dependent cross section [24].

Figure 2 shows the thermally averaged cross section for the $m_f=1$ $p$-wave resonance, Fig. 2(a), and for the $s$-wave FR, Fig. 2(b). The key features of Fig. 2(a) are the sudden rise of the cross section and thermal broadening, which grows dramatically at high field as the temperature increases. The rise comes from the sudden appearance of the narrow resonance at positive collision energies as the magnetic field is tuned. This rise is not temperature dependent because, regardless of temperature, the threshold is first degenerate with the bound state at a unique magnetic field. By contrast, the high-field tail of the resonance is sensitive to temperature because once the bound state has passed through threshold the energy dependent cross-section peaks at higher energies for higher-field values. For a fixed magnetic field to the high-field side of the FR, there is a well defined, narrow resonance at a particular energy [Fig. 1(a)]. If the temperature is low,
very few atom pairs can access this resonance. At higher temperatures more atoms experience resonant scattering, increasing $\langle \alpha \rangle$.

This characteristic asymmetric profile is not present in $s$-wave FRs. The $s$-wave FR is shown in Fig. 2(b) near its peak. The only effect of temperature in the elastic cross section is to wash out the peak of the resonance as the temperature is increased. This behavior follows from the relatively structureless energy-dependent cross section in Fig. 1(b).

### III. THE DOUBLET FEATURE

The valence electrons of ultracold alkali-metal atoms interact via a magnetic dipole-dipole interaction of the form

$$H_{ss} = -\alpha^2 \frac{3}{8} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_1 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{s}_2) - \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{s}_2}{R^3},$$

(2)

where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant, $\mathbf{s}_i$ the spin of the valence electron on atom $i$, $\mathbf{R}$ is the interatomic separation, and $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ is the normal vector defining the interatomic axis. Another way of writing this interaction that isolates the spin and partial-wave operators is

$$H_{ss} = -\alpha^2 \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8} \sum_{q=2} (-1)^l C_q^2 (s_1 \otimes s_2)^2_{-q}.$$  

(3)

Here $C_q(\theta, \phi)$ is a reduced spherical harmonic that depends on the relative orientation of the atoms, and $(s_1 \otimes s_2)^2_{-q}$ is the second rank tensor formed from the rank-1 spin operators [27]. $C_q^2$ acts on the partial-wave component of the quantum state, $(|m_l\rangle)$, while the $s_i$'s in $(s_1 \otimes s_2)^2_{-q}$ act on the electronic spin state of the atoms. Equation (3) leads to an interplay of partial wave and spin, which contributes an orientation-dependent energy to the Hamiltonian. The matrix element of Eq. (3) in our present basis is [24]

$$-\frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{6}}{R^3} \sum_{q=2} (-1)^l (l^l m_l | C_q^2 | m_l),$$

(4)

This term in the Hamiltonian couples different partial waves for $l' = l \pm 2$, and it couples different partial-wave projections $m_l$ for $l' = l \pm 2$ and $l = l' \neq 0$. For elastic $s$-wave scattering ($l = l' = 0$) Eq. (4) vanishes by symmetry. This term only plays a role in $s$-wave scattering for $s \rightarrow d$-wave transitions. However for $p$-wave scattering ($l = l' = 1$) this interaction does not vanish, i.e., $\langle 1m_l | C_q^2 | 1m_l \rangle \neq 0$. Furthermore, for elastic scattering, $q = 0$, the interaction depends on $m_l$, since $\langle 1 | C_q^2 | 1 \rangle = -\frac{2}{3}$ and $\langle 0 | C_q^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{2}{3}$. The fact that the dipole-dipole interaction does not contribute equally to all values of $m_l$ means that bound states with different $m_l$ have different energies. This implies that FRs with different values of $m_l$ couple to distinct bound states and thus have different magnetic-field dependences.

The difference between the $m_l$ projections can be understood intuitively by considering the dipole-dipole interaction of the two atoms. For the $|9/2, -7/2\rangle$ spin states case the electronic spins are essentially aligned with the field. When two dipoles are aligned head to tail they are in an attractive configuration, corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_1 = 1$ in Eq. (2). Vice versa when the dipoles are side by side they are in a repulsive configuration, $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_1 = 0$.

Viewing the motion of the atoms in the resonant state as classical, circular orbits, the cases $m_l = 0$ and $m_l = 1$ are distinguished as in Fig. 3. For $m_l = 0$, in Fig. 3(a), the motion of the atoms is in a plane containing the magnetic field. Classically this corresponds to motion described by the angle $\theta$, where the magnetic field lies in the $\hat{z}$ direction. The interaction for $m_l = 0$ alternates between attractive and repulsive as the dipoles change between head to tail attraction and side by side repulsion. On the other hand, for $|m_l| = 1$, shown in Fig. 3(b), the motion of the atoms is in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field. Classically this corresponds to motion described by the angle $\phi$. This interaction is only repulsive, because the dipoles are held in the side-by-side configuration. Since the dipole-dipole interaction for $|m_l| = 1$ has only a repulsive influence it forms a resonant state with higher energy.

Figure 4 shows the total elastic cross section as a function of field at several temperatures. One can clearly see the doublet feature in the cross section at low temperature. The first peak. The only effect of temperature in the elastic cross section is to wash out the peak of the resonance as the temperature is increased. This behavior follows from the relatively structureless energy-dependent cross section in Fig. 1(b).
The two peaks is measured to be 0.47± 0.08 G, in good agreement shows the predicted doublet structure. The splitting between the resonance one must use the second-order term in the perturbation theory. The dominant inelastic processes are three-body losses [10,13], which lie at a slightly lower field than the elastic resonance peak [10].

IV. EFFECTIVE-RANGE EXPANSION OF THE P-WAVE FR

To compute many-body properties of degenerate gases the s-wave scattering length is often used to mimic the essential two-body physics. Near a FR the scattering length diverges and can be represented well by \( a = a_{bg}[1-(\Delta/B-B_0)] \), where \( a_{bg} \) is the background scattering length, \( \Delta \) is the width, \( B_0 \) is the location of the s-wave resonance. Scattering length is defined as \( a = \frac{\lim_{k \to 0} \tan(\delta_k)}{k} \), where \( \delta_k \) is the s-wave phase shift and \( k = \sqrt{2\mu E} \), where \( \mu \) is the reduced mass.

For p-wave collisions the relevant quantity is the scattering volume, \( v = \frac{\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \tan(\delta_k)}{k^3} \). A simple form like the one for \( a \) is inadequate for parametrization of the p-wave scattering volume because the p-wave resonance has a complicated energy dependence. Figure 6(a) shows the p-wave scattering volume as a function of field and energy. The curves show that as the energy is increased the location and width of the resonance change.

To adequately parametrize the p-wave phase shift across the resonance one must use the second-order term in the effective-range expansion [28]. Figure 6(b) shows \( k^3 \cot(\delta_k) \)
plotted as a function of energy for several magnetic fields. This set of curves can then be fit using effective-range expansion of the form

\[ k^3 \cot(\delta_l) = -\frac{1}{v} + c k^2, \]

where \( \delta_l \) is the \( l \)-wave phase shift, \( v \) is the scattering volume, and \( c \) the second coefficient in the expansion, analogous to the effective range in the s-wave expansion, but with units \( \text{cm}^{-1} \). Both \( v \) and \( c \) are functions of magnetic field. Fitting \( v \) and \( c \) to quadratic functions of \( B \), which is adequate for the energy range of \( E < 10^9 \text{ K} \) and magnetic-field range of 195 to 205 G, we find

\[
\frac{1}{v_{m=0}} = 8.681 \times 10^{-5} - 8.297 \times 10^{-7} B \\
+ 1.977 \times 10^{-9} B^2,
\]

\[
c_{m=0} = -1.648 + 0.015 \times 23 B - 3.544 \times 10^{-5} B^2,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{v_{|m|=1}} = 7.834 \times 10^{-5} - 7.456 \times 10^{-7} B \\
+ 1.768 \times 10^{-9} B^2,
\]

\[
c_{|m|=1} = -2.367 \times 10^{-2} + 6.46 \times 5.450 \times 10^{-5} B^2,
\]

where \( B \) is magnetic field in Gauss. These fits for \( 1/v \) and \( c \) accurately reproduce \( k^3 \cot(\delta_l) \) to within 3% on the interval specified. This fit does not include experimental uncertainties, rather the fit is designed to reproduce the closed coupling calculation with the optimal scattering parameters.

An effective-range parametrization of the type given in Eq. (8) can be immediately incorporated into the many-body theory formulations of Refs. [12,31,33]. In a nonsuperfluid state, \( p \)-wave interactions are found to modify the stability diagram of a degenerate Fermi gas [34]. Because these stability issues rest on the effective attraction or repulsion of the \( p \)-wave contact potential, they will depend also on the anisotropy of the interaction. This situation is reminiscent of the rich behavior predicted in ultracold degenerate gases of dipolar particles [22,32,35].

Moreover, in the theory of resonant superfluidity, a similar parametrization of an \( s \)-wave resonance was used to represent the influence of two-body physics on the many-body properties of a degenerate gas [12]. Similarly, the parametrization given here should enable similar exploration of superfluid states with anisotropic order parameters. The anisotropy can then be adjusted by varying the magnetic field from one resonance peak to the other.

A recent result studies the behavior of a single-component Fermi gas subject to quasi-one-dimensional confinement [36]. This leads to interesting physics in the “Tonks-Girardeau” regime, where scattering lengths are larger than the transverse confinement lengths. FR resonance physics plays a dominant role here. The addition of explicit anisotropies further enriches this regime, and the scattering can occur preferentially along, or across, the confinement direction. In any event the detailed two-body scattering calculations presented here represent vital input for a variety of novel physics that may be explored.

V. IMPLICATIONS FOR EXPERIMENTS

Because of the \( p \)-wave FR, angular dependence of scattering is under the experimenter’s control. For example, if the magnetic field is tuned to 199.0 G in the \( ^{40} \text{K} \)-wave FR the dominant interactions in the gas will be perpendicular to the field axis, which follows from the angular distribution corresponding to the spherical harmonic \( Y_{1/2}(\theta, \phi) \). Whereas if the field is tuned 0.5 G higher, the interaction will be dominated by \( Y_{1/2}(\theta, \phi) \), characterized by enhanced collisions along the field axis. The angular dependence of the collisions also has implications for the inelastic two-body processes. These processes are characterized by two atoms gaining a predictable amount of energy governed by hyperfine splitting and is redistributed in a well defined angular manner.

\( p \)-wave FRs offer a means to experimentally study anisotropic interactions in systems other than identical fermions. For example, we predict that there are \( p \)-wave FRs in distinct spin states of bosonic \( ^{85} \text{Rb} \) and in the Bose-Fermi mixture of \( ^{40} \text{K} \)-\( ^{87} \text{Rb} \), shown in Table I. The Rb calculations used potentials that are consistent with Ref. [29]. The K-Rb calculations are consistent with Ref. [30]. On resonance the \( p \)-wave cross section becomes comparable to the background \( s \)-wave scattering. This means that it could have an equally important role in determining the collisional behavior and mean-field interaction of a thermal gas or condensate.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented characteristics of \( p \)-wave FRs. An interesting characteristic is the doublet feature for the FR with \( l=1 \). The splitting is caused by the dipole-dipole interaction having distinct values depending on partial-wave projection. This might in turn offer a means to study anisotropic interactions in quantum gases. Another feature of the \( p \)-wave FR is the asymmetric thermal broadening, which arises from the
resonant state moving away from threshold as the magnetic field is tuned. Generally, we expect the broadening to occur for fields which the $v < 0$. Just as a $p$-wave FR splits into two components an $l$-wave FR will split into $l+1$ components.
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